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Every power to exert symbolic violence, i.e. every power which manages to impose meanings and to impose them as legitimate by concealing the power relations which are the basis of its force, adds its own specifically symbolic force to those power relations.

— PIERRE BOURDIEU AND JEAN-CLAUDE PASSERON, REPRODUCTION IN EDUCATION, SOCIETY AND CULTURE

For symbolic power is that invisible power which can be exercised only with the complicity of those who do not want to know that they are subject to it or even that they themselves exercise it.

— PIERRE BOURDIEU, "ON SYMBOLIC POWER"
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For many years I was a Bourdieusian skeptic. Under pressure from Berkeley graduate students to take Bourdieusian seriously, I enrolled in Loïc Wacquant's Bourdieusian Boot Camp course in the spring of 2005. It opened my eyes to the ever-expanding panorama of Bourdieusian oeuvre. It was in the memos for that course that I first began imaginary conversations between Bourdieusian and Marxism. It took me another four years to develop my own seminar on Bourdieusian. Teaching is the greatest teacher of all. I owe an incalculable debt to the students who have passed through those seminars but also to the many audiences who have listened to and commented on the enactment of one or more of the conversations.

Let me mention just a few who have enhanced these conversations with conversations of their own—in Berkeley with Gabe Hetland, Zach Levenson, Mike Levien, Mara Loveman, Fareen Parvez, Gretchen Purser, Raka Ray, Adam Reich, Ofer Sharone, Mary Shi, Shelly Steward, Cihan Tuğal, and Loïc Wacquant; in Madison with Gay Seidman and Matt Nichte; in South Africa with Kate Alexander, Shireen Ally, Andries Bezuidenhout, Jackie Cock, Bridget Kenny, Oupa Lehoulere, Prashani Naidoo, Sonja Narunsky-Laden, Irma du Plessis, Vish Satgar, Jeremy Seekings, Ari Sitas, Tina Uys, Ahmed Veriava, Michelle Williams, Eric Worsby, and, of course, Luli Callinicos and Eddie Webster; in France with Quentin Ravelli, Ugo Palheta, Anton Perdoncin, Aurore Koechlin, and Sebastian Carbonell. In addition, I was very fortunate to have two encouraging but anonymous reviewers for Duke University Press.

One friend and colleague, in particular—Jeff Sallaz—has been a source of continual support. When he was a graduate student at Berkeley he put up with my skepticism toward Bourdieusian, and then he was generous enough to help me through my conversion. When I first went public with my conversations at the University of Wisconsin–Madison in 2008, he listened to the recordings in Arizona and sent me invaluable comments. When Ruy Braga heard of my Madison conversations he thought they would be important in Brazil for bridging the divide between Marxists and Bourdieusians. So
he organized their translation and publication in Portuguese under the title
O marxismo encontra Bourdieu (Marxism meets Bourdieu).

At the same time, Karl von Holdt, then head of the long-standing
Society, Work and Politics Institute (SWOP) at the University of the Wit-
watersrand in Johannesburg, invited me to give lectures in 2010. He bravely
accepted my proposal to extend the six Madison conversations to eight.
I faced a stimulating and engaged audience, as there always is at Wits, but I
had a problem convincing them of Bourdieu’s importance. Karl saved the
day, stepping in at the end of every lecture to show the relevance of Bour-
dieu’s ideas for South Africa. His conversations about my conversations
were duly published by Wits University Press in 2012 as Conversations with
Bourdieu: The Johannesburg Moment. Since then there has been a French
version in preparation by a group of young French sociologists.

The biggest challenge of all was to produce a US version—one suited
to the US world of sociology. When Gisela Fosado of Duke University Press
invited me to do just that, I set about revising the lectures once again and
included two further conversations: one of Bourdieu with himself based on
the book La misère du monde (The Weight of the World), and a prologue
tracing my successive encounters with Bourdieu—from skepticism to con-
version to engagement. Finally, I wrote a new conclusion that arose from
an ongoing dialogue with my colleague Dylan Riley, in which I redeemed
Bourdieu against Dylan’s Marxist critique.

This all took much longer than expected, but now it is finished.
Each conversation can be read by itself, but there is a cumulative theme that
interrogates the underappreciated concept that lies at the heart of Bourdieu’s
writings—symbolic violence.

My lifelong friend and fellow Marxist Erik Wright had difficulty
fathoming my Bourdiesian odyssey. While recognizing the enormous
influence of Bourdieu’s work, he had little patience for its arbitrary claims, its
inconsistencies, and its obscurantist style. His skepticism notwithstanding,
it was Erik who invited me to give those experimental Madison lectures in
2008. He helped me through them, commenting on them and orchestrating
a lively conversation with the audience. He had a unique capacity to draw out
what was salvageable, to separate the wheat from the chaff. For more than
forty years I was blessed by his generosity—emotional, intellectual, social,
and culinary—as we each took intersecting paths between sociology and
Marxism. He left us while I was putting the finishing touches to this book. I
miss him badly, as will so many others. He was an extraordinary human being.
I dedicate this book to him and to the many adventures we had together.
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PROLOGUE

ENCOUNTERING
BOURDIEU

My path to Bourdieu has been long and arduous, strewn with skepticism
and irritation. His sentences are long, his paragraphs riddles, his essays per-
plexing, his knowledge intimidating, his books exhausting, and his oeuvre
sprawling. When I thought I understood, I wondered what was novel. Strug-
ning with his texts, I experienced the full force of symbolic violence. Pierre
Bourdieu is not only the great analyst of symbolic violence, but he is also
the great perpetrator of symbolic violence, cowering us into believing that some
great truth is hidden in his work. For many years I was anti-Bourdieu.

Taken individually his works are incomplete, but as the pieces came
together I began to see the vision that arose from his theory of symbolic
violence—a breathtaking panorama stretched before me. Only by putting
symbolic violence and its ramifications into conversation with Marxists,
those enemies from whom he borrowed so much, could I begin to grasp and
then grapple with the ambition of his theoretical mosaic. The conversations
began as a mischievous game, but little by little the pace quickened, turning
into a trot and then into a headlong gallop as I became absorbed in my own
game, obsessed with Bourdieuian theory. The Bourdieuian lens rose ever
more powerful, ever more paradoxical, posing a new challenge to Marxism
and giving a new meaning to sociology.

In the United States, as in other countries, sociologists grew increas-
ingly receptive to Bourdieu over time, to the point that he is now one of the
discipline’s most-cited figures (Sallaz and Zavisca 2007). Critical sociologists
of education such as Annette Lareau (1989) were among the first adopters,
extending Bourdieu’s early research on "schools as reproduction machines."
As more of Bourdieu’s books became available in English, scholars began